

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JOSHUA D. BRODSKY,)	3:14-cv-00641-RCJ-WGC
)	
Plaintiffs,)	<u>MINUTES OF THE COURT</u>
vs.)	
)	August 6, 2015
WARDEN BACA, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: KATIE LYNN OGDEN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

Before the court is Plaintiff's "Motion for Discovery Deadline & Trial, also Intention for Trial by Jury." (Doc. # 85.) The record reflects the Defendants have not yet answered Plaintiff's Complaint. Defendants' answer or other responsive pleading is not due until August 24, 2015. (Doc. # 46 at 2-3.) A scheduling order which allows discovery to commence will issue after Defendants answer Plaintiff's Complaint. L.R. 16-1(b). Therefore, discovery (or a "motion for discovery") and the request for a trial to be set is premature.

Plaintiff's Motion (Doc. # 85) is therefore **DENIED without prejudice**. As stated above, a scheduling order will be issued if Defendants answer or if good cause otherwise appears that discovery should proceed herein. L.R. 16.1.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: _____ /s/
Deputy Clerk